

Peer Review Report

Review Report on Influence of pregnancy on sexual desire in pregnant women and their partners: systematic review

Review, Public Health Rev

Reviewer: Maribel Carvalhais

Submitted on: 26 Sep 2023

Article DOI: 10.3389/phrs.2023.1606308

EVALUATION

Q 1 Please summarize the main theme of the review.

The main theme focuses on the physical and psychological changes that couples experience during pregnancy and how these changes may or may not affect their sexuality.

Q 2 Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

You should improve the problem statement in the introduction. It mentions the changes in the pregnant woman, but little or nothing about her partner's sexual desire.

One of the main limitations is that the authors don't use primary references. Most of the article quotes other authors.

Strengths: The text is well structured and written, addressing the main issue in relation to women. The methodology addresses the main points.

They describe the main results, comparing the results with existing knowledge on the subject and the relevance of new knowledge emerging from the study. The conclusions are related to the research objectives. They present the study's main limitations and implications for practice.

Q 3 Please provide your detailed review report to the authors, structured in major and minor comments.

- Line 6 - Improve the objective of the summary
- Revise "partnership" in the key words
- In the contribution to the domain, the role of nurses in clinical practice should be better highlighted.
- Introduction: Improve the problem statement. It refers to changes in pregnant women, but little or nothing about their partner's sexual desire.
- Line 62 - Objective: to identify the most relevant psycho-bio-social factors that can affect the couple's sexuality in the prenatal period. The aim of the abstract is not in line with that of the introduction. Is it social? Or just physical and psychological?
- Line 66- Put systematic literature review and not just literature review. Instead of evaluating, I suggest identifying.
- Line 85 - Inclusion criteria: I suggest putting Full text
- Results:
- Line 116 - Refers to a total of 19 articles??? In the introduction they refer to 16 articles???
- When explaining the type of study they refer to 16, regarding origin/parents they refer to 19??? REVERSE

PLEASE COMMENT

Q 4 Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?

Many references are quotes from other authors

Q 5 Does this manuscript refer only to published data? (unpublished data is not allowed for Reviews)

Yes.

Q 6 Does the manuscript cover the issue in an objective and analytical manner

Yes.

Q 7 Was a review on the issue published in the past 12 months?

No.

Q 8 Does the review have international or global implications?

Yes

Q 9 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

Yes

Q 10 Are the keywords appropriate?

Review the keyword "partnership"

Q 11 Is the English language of sufficient quality?

Yes

Q 12 Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Yes.

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Q 13 Quality of generalization and summary



Q 14 Significance to the field



Q 15 Interest to a general audience



Q 16 Quality of the writing



REVISION LEVEL

Q 17 Please take a decision based on your comments:

Minor revisions.