



Prevalence and Factors Associated With Frailty Among Older Adults Living With HIV Compared to Their Uninfected Peers From the Kenyan Coast

Patrick N. Mwangala^{1,2,3}*, Carophine Nasambu², Ryan G. Wagner⁴, Charles R. Newton^{1,2,5,6} and Amina Abubakar^{1,2,5,6}

¹Institute for Human Development, Aga Khan University, Nairobi, Kenya, ²Centre for Geographic Medicine Research Coast, Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI), Kilifi, Kenya, ³School of Public Health, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, ⁴MRC/Wits Rural Public Health and Health Transitions Research Unit (Agincourt), Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa, ⁵Department of Psychiatry, Warneford Hospital, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom, ⁶Department of Public Health, Pwani University, Kilifi, Kenya

Objectives: a) To document the prevalence and correlates of frailty among older adults living with HIV (OALWH) and their uninfected peers, and b) Investigate HIV status as an independent predictor of frailty.

OPEN ACCESS

IJPH

Edited by:

Olaf von dem Knesebeck, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Germany

Reviewed by:

Michel Oris, University of Geneva, Switzerland One reviewer who chose to remain anonymous

*Correspondence

Patrick N. Mwangala, patrick.nzivo@aku.edu, pmwangala27@gmail.com

This Original Article is part of the IJPH Special Issue "Ageing and Health in Sub-Sahara Africa"

> Received: 06 June 2023 Accepted: 31 January 2024 Published: 15 February 2024

Citation:

Mwangala PN, Nasambu C, Wagner RG, Newton CR and Abubakar A (2024) Prevalence and Factors Associated With Frailty Among Older Adults Living With HIV Compared to Their Uninfected Peers From the Kenyan Coast. Int J Public Health 69:1606284. doi: 10.3389/ijph.2024.1606284 **Methods:** This cross-sectional study was conducted between 2020 and 2021 at the Kenyan coast among 440 older adults aged ≥50 years (257 OALWH). Frailty was assessed using the Reported Edmonton Frail Scale. Logistic regression was used to examine the correlates of frailty.

Results: The prevalence of frailty was significantly higher among OALWH (24%) than their uninfected peers (13%). HIV seropositivity was not independently associated with frailty. Sleeping difficulties, ageism, higher waist/hip ratio, visiting traditional healers, HIV treatment change/interruption, prolonged illness following HIV diagnosis, and self-reported diabetes were significantly associated with higher odds of frailty. Residing in larger households, having higher income, having friends, being male and light physical activities were significantly associated with reduced odds of frailty.

Conclusion: The prevalence of frailty is elevated among OALWH; however, factors other than HIV are predominant, particularly psychosocial factors. Multicomponent interventions are needed to prevent/delay and manage frailty in this setting.

Keywords: HIV, aging, frailty, correlates, sub-Saharan Africa

INTRODUCTION

Recent years have witnessed a remarkable rise in the population of older adults in developing countries, including Kenya, making caring for the elderly a public health priority [1]. A better understanding of the factors that influence healthy ageing is crucial for designing appropriate therapies to prevent functional decline, maintain independence, and preserve the quality of life of

1

these adults. In this context, increasing attention has been paid to geriatric syndromes, especially frailty, as a potential explanation of the health diversity among older adults [2]. Although a universal definition is still lacking, frailty is commonly conceptualized as the increased vulnerability to external and internal stressors resulting from a significant loss of physiologic reserve [3]. Several pathophysiological pathways, including cellular senescence, mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress, and dysregulation of inflammatory processes, underlie the frailty syndrome [4]. Growing evidence from systematic reviews also links frailty with adverse health outcomes, including emergency hospital admissions [5], disability [6], poor quality of life [7], dementia [8], and premature mortality [9]. Given the high burden, negative impacts on older adults, and the dynamic nature of frailty, identifying the determinants of frailty is imperative, especially among vulnerable older adults such as those ageing with HIV.

Frailty has been examined extensively in recent years; however, most work has been conducted in older populations in high-income countries (HICs). Some work has been conducted in LMICs, but this has been confined to Brazil, Mexico, China [10] and South Africa [11-14]. So far, results indicate that the prevalence of frailty is highly variable, both between countries and between populations within a country, due to differences in the populations studied and the measurement of frailty. A recent meta-analysis of European studies showed a frailty prevalence of 12% among community-based studies and 45% in noncommunity-based studies [15]. In Latin America, the prevalence of frailty among community-dwelling older adults was 20%, with a range of 8%-43% in the studies reviewed [16]. Among OALWH, the global prevalence of frailty ranges from 5% to 29% [17]. In SSA, there has been growing attention to the risks of frailty in the ageing population, both in the general population and among people living with HIV (PLWH). To our knowledge, about a dozen studies on frailty have been conducted among older adults in the general population in SSA: South Africa [11-14], Tanzania [18-20], Ghana [13, 14], Nigeria [21], and Burkina Faso [22] with prevalence estimates ranging from 5.4% [11] to 63.3% [21]. Only two studies have focused on OALWH [23], with prevalence estimates ranging from 2.8% to 14.7%.

Identifying the risk and protective factors of frailty may be useful for developing interventions designed to prevent and/or lower the burden that frailty places on a person and provide future directions for public health policy. Indeed, numerous studies have focused on identifying the factors associated with frailty, including the role of biological, lifestyle, and psychological factors [18]. Among older adults in the general population, sociodemographic factors (e.g., being female, older age, low education levels, low income, living alone), physical factors (e.g., obesity, malnourishment, hearing loss, visual impairment, persistent pain), lifestyle factors (e.g., smoking, alcohol consumption, sedentary behaviours) and psychological factors (e.g., depressive symptoms, and sleeping problems) have been identified as risk factors for frailty [24-33]. Most of these studies have focused on sociodemographic factors. However, recently published studies have focused more on lifestyle-related, psychological, and biological factors associated with frailty, which may reflect a growing interest in potentially modifiable factors for frailty. Among OALWH, less research on risk and protective factors has been conducted. In a past systematic review [17], the predictors of frailty included older age, comorbidities, diagnosis of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) and low current $CD4^+$ cell count.

Like many parts of SSA, Kenya's population of older adults is increasing rapidly. In the most recent population census of 2019, the proportion of older adults aged \geq 50 years was about 11%, representing approximately 5.2 million individuals [34]. Kenya is also witnessing an increase in chronic age-related conditions [35], which coincides with a high prevalence of HIV among those aged \geq 50 years [36]. Hence, establishing the current burden and determinants of frailty is essential if health and social care services are to meet the needs of Kenya's ageing population. The present study aims to: a) determine the prevalence of frailty among OALWH compared to their uninfected peers; b) investigate HIV status as an independent predictor of frailty in the older adults; and c) investigate the determinants of frailty among the older adults at the coast of Kenya.

METHODS

Study Design and Setting

This was a cross-sectional study carried out at the Kenyan coast in Mombasa and Kilifi counties between 2020 and 2021. With an estimated population of about 1.5 million people [37], most Kilifi residents are rural inhabitants of the Mijikenda tribe, whose primary source of livelihood is subsistence farming and smallscale trading. In Kilifi, the prevalence of HIV in adults is 4.5% [38]. Mombasa County borders Kilifi to the north and hosts Mombasa City, the second-largest city and chief port of Kenya. It has a population of about 1.2 million residents [37]. Given its urban nature, the county is made up of the local (Mijikenda and Swahili) and immigrant communities from other parts of Kenya. At about 60%, the formal sector provides the majority of employment in Mombasa County [39]. The prevalence of HIV in adults in Mombasa is about 7.5% [38].

Study Participants and Recruitment Older Adults Living With HIV (OALWH)

We recruited the OALWH from two public HIV-specialized clinics in Mombasa and Kilifi counties (one in each). We specifically selected the two clinics because of their wide client catchment area and their large volume of potential participants. To be included, clients had to be aged \geq 50 years of age, have a confirmed HIV seropositivity status, be on HIV treatment, and be willing and able to provide informed consent for their involvement.

In both HIV clinics, two community health volunteers or healthcare providers assisted us in reviewing existing records to identify potential clients. Efforts were made to contact all potential clients who had contact details (in alphabetical order) to invite them to participate in our study. Study introductions were conducted in person by a research assistant before any enrolment. Participant recruitment began in Mombasa County; however, it was interrupted by the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic after recruiting and assessing only 72 OALWH. Upon resumption of project activities, the recruitment and assessment of remaining clients (n = 368) took place in Kilifi County.

HIV Uninfected Older Adults

All the older adults without HIV were recruited from Kilifi County. The Kilifi Health and Demographic Surveillance System (KHDSS) was used to identify families with eligible older adults. Subsequently, potential participants aged ≥ 50 years were randomly identified from the existing database and followed up at their homes using Global Positioning System (GPS) coordinates by our trained research assistants. Project information was shared with all individuals who expressed interest in participation. As inclusion criteria, individuals had to be \geq 50 years old, inhabitants of Kilifi county, and provide consent to their involvement, including willingness to be tested for HIV using a rapid HIV testing kit (OraQuick) for a confirmation of their HIV seronegative status.

Sample Size Calculations

We calculated our sample size using a previous study [40], which reported significant differences in the prevalence estimate of frailty between OALWH and their uninfected counterparts. Power analyses in Stata (using effect estimates and comparison of two group proportions) were conducted to estimate the required sample size. An overall sample of 310 was required to detect a difference in frailty between OALWH and uninfected peers at 80% power and a 5% level of statistical significance. A sample of 450 participants was deemed sufficient, allowing for missing data.

Measures

We programmed all our research instruments on Android tablets using the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) platform [41] for face-to-face interviewer administration. The first author (the study coordinator) trained the research assistants for 2 weeks to facilitate the proper administration of the study tools. All study tools not previously adapted to the local language of Swahili underwent recommended adaptation procedures, that is, forward translation, forward translation review, back translation, harmonization by a panel of experts, pilot testing, pilot testing review, and proofreading [42].

Sociodemographic and Asset Index Form

Sociodemographic characteristics, including participants' age, sex, marital status, educational level, occupational status, household size, income, living arrangements and number of dependents, were captured in REDCap. We also collected information on individual and family ownership of disposable assets for asset index computation as a proxy for socioeconomic status. The participants also provided information on their food security in the past week, access to social support, social network of close friends, the number of people living with HIV in the household, and whether they were taking care of sick family members at the time and visiting traditional healers.

General Health Information

We also gathered the participants' anthropometric details (such as height, weight, blood pressure, waist, and hip circumference), hours spent on sedentary activities in a day, sexual activity, number of medications one was using, self-reported comorbidities, past medical history, and common complaints, e.g., fatigue, pain, sleeping difficulties, visual and hearing problems.

For OALWH, we also asked HIV-specific questions relating to the disclosure of HIV status, access to the HIV clinic, and past medical history, e.g., cART regimen change/interruption and prolonged illness after HIV diagnosis. Information pertaining to their current ART regimen and overall duration on ART were extracted from their medical records. We also collected 10 mL of venous blood samples from the OALWH for viral load measurement.

Psychosocial Measures

Psychosocial variables included HIV-related stigma, functional disability, loneliness, and age-related discrimination (ageism). All these constructs were assessed using interviewer-administered Likert scales, the brief 12-item HIV stigma scale [43], the 12-item World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule 2 [44], UCLA 8-item loneliness scale [45], and the 20-item ageism survey [46]. In each scale, a higher score translates into a greater level of impairment.

Measures of Frailty

We assessed frailty using the modified Reported Edmonton Frail Scale [47]. It assesses nine domains of frailty: cognition, general health status, functional independence, social support, medication use, nutrition, mood, continence, and self-reported functional performance. Each domain comprises a set of questions examining the construct. Test scores range from 0–18, and participants are classified conventionally into 5 categories, with a higher score representing a higher degree of frailty: 0–5 (not frail), 6–7 (apparently vulnerable), 8–9 (mild frailty), 10–11 (moderate frailty) and 12–18 (severe frailty). In the current study, we collapsed these categories into three: 0–5 (non-frail), 6–7 (pre-frail) and 8–18 (frail) to enable meaningful analysis of the correlates of frailty [48, 49].

Data Analysis

We conducted all our analyses in STATA version 15.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, United States). We utilized descriptive statistics to summarize sample characteristics. Specifically, independent Student's t-test and Chi-square test were used to compare differences in independent variables. Proportions were used to estimate the prevalence of frailty among OALHW and their uninfected peers. To examine HIV status as an independent predictor of frailty, we used logistic regression analyses adjusting for relevant exposure variables that accounted for differences in frailty. Examination of the correlates of frailty applied logistic regression models to explore univariate associations between the binary outcome variables (frailty) and the various exposure variables. Expose variables with a *p*-value <0.15 in the univariate analysis were then entered into the multivariable models using forward selection. In all models, collinearity was

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the study population by HIV status, n = 440 (The HIV-associated Neurocognitive Disorders study, Kenya, 2020 and 2021).

Characteristic	Total sample	HIV status		
	n = 440	HIV uninfected older adults, $n = 183$	HIV-infected older adults, n = 257	<i>p</i> -value
Age (years)				
50–59	227 (51.6)	84 (45.9)	143 (55.6)	
60–69	171 (38.9)	74 (40.4)	97 (37.7)	0.02
≥70	42 (9.5)	25 (13.7)	17 (6.6)	
Sex				
Female	258 (58.6)	98 (53.6)	160 (62.3)	0.07
Male	182 (41.4)	85 (46.4)	97 (37.7)	
Marital status				
Never married	12 (2.8)	4 (2.2)	8 (3.1)	
Separated/Divorced/Widowed	181 (41.1)	45 (24.6)	136 (52.9)	<0.001 ^e
Married/cohabiting	247 (56.1)	134 (73.2)	113 (44.0)	
Education level				
None	162 (36.8)	90 (49.2)	72 (28.0)	
Primary	182 (41.4)	65 (35.5)	117 (45.5)	<0.001 ^e
Secondary	73 (16.6)	22 (12.0)	51 (19.9)	
Tertiary	23 (5.2)	6 (3.3)	17 (6.6)	
Employment				
Unemployed	288 (65.5)	126 (68.9)	162 (63.0)	
Employed	116 (26.3)	39 (21.3)	77 (30.0)	0.1
Retired	36 (8.2)	18 (9.8)	18 (7.0)	
Household size				
One to two people	69 (15.7)	15 (8.2)	54 (21.0)	
Three to six people	297 (67.5)	131 (71.6)	166 (64.6)	0.001
Above seven people	74 (16.8)	37 (20.2)	37 (14.4)	
Monthly household income (Ksh)				
≤10,000	279 (63.4)	69 (37.7)	210 (81.7)	
Above 10,000	161 (36.6)	114 (62.3)	47 (18.3)	<0.001
Living arrangements				
Multiple generational families	359 (81.6)	169 (92.3)	190 (73.9)	
Single generational families	41 (9.3)	6 (3.3)	35 (13.6)	<0.001 ^e
Alone	40 (9.1)	8 (4.4)	32 (12.5)	
Number of dependents, mean (SD)	3.2 (2.6)	3.6 (2.5)	2.9 (2.7)	0.01
Caring for a sick family member, OM = 2				
Yes	291 (66.4)	104 (57.1)	187 (73.1)	0.001
No	147 (33.6)	78 (42.9)	69 (26.9)	
Social network of close friends (yes or no question) OM = 1				
None	19 (4.3)	4 (2.2)	15 (5.9)	
Yes	420 (95.7)	179 (97.8)	241 (91.4)	0.1 ^e
Access to instrumental/social support				
None	199 (45.2)	93 (50.8)	106 (41.2)	
Sometimes	215 (48.9)	83 (45.4)	132 (51.4)	0.07
Most of the time	26 (5.9)	7 (3.8)	19 (7.4)	
Seeking the services of traditional healers $OM = 4$				
No	399 (91.5)	166 (90.7)	233 (92.1)	0.6
Yes	37 (8.5)	17 (9.3)	20 (7.9)	
History of cerebrovascular accident OM = 4				
No	427 (97.9)	178 (97.3)	249 (98.4)	0.5 ^e
Yes	9 (2.1)	5 (2.7)	4 (1.6)	
Food insecurity (lack of food in the past week), $OM = 3$				
Never	293 (67.1)	134 (73.6)	159 (62.4)	
Sometimes	119 (27.2)	45 (24.7)	74 (29.0)	0.002 ^e
Most of the time/always	25 (5.7)	3 (1.7)	22 (8.6)	
Asset index score ^a -mean (SD)	2.3 (1.5)	1.9 (1.2)	2.5 (1.6)	<0.001

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 1 (Continued) Characteristics of the study population by HIV status, n = 440 (The HIV-associated Neurocognitive Disorders study, Kenya, 2020 and 2021).

Characteristic	Total sample n = 440	HIV status			
		HIV uninfected older adults, n = 183	HIV-infected older adults, n = 257	<i>p</i> -value	
Body mass index-mean (SD), OM = 11	24.9 (6.0)	24.7 (6.1)	25.0 (5.9)	0.7	
High waist-hip ratio					
No	202 (46.3)	77 (42.1)	125 (49.4)	0.1	
Yes	234 (53.7)	106 (57.9)	128 (50.6)		
Loneliness score ^b -mean (SD), OM = 3	13.9 (3.7)	13.0 (3.4)	14.6 (3.7)	<0.001	
Functional disability score ^c —mean (SD), $OM = 2$	2.5 (4.3)	1.5 (3.0)	3.1 (4.9)	<0.001	
Ageism score ^d -mean (SD)	4.2 (5.9)	3.0 (4.4)	5.0 (6.6)	<0.001	
Hours spent in sedentary activities in a day, mean (SD), OM = 12	4.5 (2.6)	4.3 (2.1)	4.6 (2.9)	0.3	
Days spent doing light activities in the past week, mean (SD), $OM = 5$	5.6 (2.4)	4.6 (3.0)	6.4 (1.6)	<0.001	
Sexually active, OM = 4					
Yes	206 (47.3)	105 (57.4)	101 (39.9)	<0.001	
No	230 (52.7)	78 (42.6)	152 (60.1)		
Sleeping difficulties in the past month, $OM = 4$					
None	276 (63.3)	125 (68.3)	151 (59.7)		
Sometimes	131 (30.1)	53 (29.0)	78 (30.8)	0.01 ^e	
Most of the time/always	29 (6.6)	5 (2.7)	24 (9.5)		
Frequent bodily pain					
No	334 (75.9)	134 (73.2)	200 (77.8)	0.3	
Yes	106 (24.1)	49 (26.8)	57 (22.2)		
Self-reported hearing ability OM = 4					
Very good	360 (82.6)	151 (82.5)	209 (82.6)	0.90	
Averagely/very bad	76 (17.4)	32 (17.5)	44 (17.4)		
Self-reported Hypertension, OM = 4					
No	322 (73.9)	138 (75.4)	184 (72.7)	0.5	
Yes	114 (26.1)	45 (24.6)	69 (27.3)		
Self-reported diabetes, $OM = 4$					
No	412 (94.5)	175 (95.6)	237 (93.7)	0.4 ^e	
Yes	24 (5.5)	8 (4.4)	16 (6.3)		
Number of medications participants are currently using, mean (SD) $OM = 8$	1.6 (1.6)	0.4 (1.2)	2.4 (1.2)	<0.001	

All numbers are reported as frequencies with percentages unless otherwise stated p-values are for the difference between OALWH and their uninfected peers by sample characteristic. SD, standard deviation; OM, observation with missing value; Ksh Kenya shillings.

^aScore range = 0 to 8, higher scores indicate better socioeconomic status.

^bScore range = 8 to 27, higher scores indicate greater loneliness.

^cScore range = 0 to 33, higher scores indicate increasing disability.

^dScore range = 0 to 34, higher scores indicate increasing agism.

^ebased on Fisher's exact test.

checked, and for all hypothesis tests, a two-tailed p-value <0.05 was deemed statistically significant. We checked the overall fit of the final models using Hosmer and Lemeshow's goodness of fit, where a p-value of >0.05 was considered a good fit.

RESULTS

Sample Characteristics

Our sample comprised 440 participants, 257 (58%) of whom were OALWH. An overall response rate of 90% was achieved at recruitment. Among the respondents, 6 (1.4%) did not

complete the outcome measure. **Table 1** gives the details of the demographic and biopsychosocial information of these participants. In brief, the mean age of the respondents was 60.1 (SD = 6.9) years and 58.6% were female. The majority of the participants were unemployed (65.5%), had a monthly household income of less than 10,000 Kenyan shillings—about \$90 (63.4%), lived in multigenerational households (81.6%), and had caregiving responsibilities (66.4%). Moreover, close to half of them reported being sexually active, and a similar proportion reported that they were not accessing/receiving adequate social support. Adults living with HIV were likely to be younger, unmarried, more educated, have lower monthly household income, live alone, and have fewer dependents. **TABLE 2** | HIV-related, clinical, and psychosocial characteristics of OALWH, n = 257 (The HIV-associated Neurocognitive Disorders study, Kenya, 2020 and 2021).

Characteristic	Mean (SD) or frequency (%)
HIV status disclosure	
Yes	245 (95.3%)
No	12 (4.7%)
Household HIV burden, mean (SD); OM = 5	1.4 (1.6)
cART regimen	
First line	233 (90.7%)
Second line	23 (8.9%)
Third line	1 (0.4%)
cART regimen change/interruption since HIV diag	nosis
Yes	110 (42.8%)
No	147 (57.2%)
Duration on cART (years), mean (SD), OM = 10	11.4 (4.3)
Viral suppression, OM = 45	
Yes	208 (98.1%)
No	4 (1.9%)
Access to HIV clinic, OM = 4	
Easily accessible	169 (66.8%)
Not easily accessible	84 (33.2%)
History of a neurological condition, OM =4	
No	236 (93.3%)
Yes	17 (6.7%)
History of prolonged illness following HIV diagnos	is, OM = 6
No	223 (88.8%)
Yes	28 (11.2%)
Perceived HIV-stigma score, OM = 1	
Personalized stigma ^a —mean (SD)	5.0 (1.9)
Disclosure concerns ^b -mean (SD)	8.6 (2.0)
Concerns about public attitudes ^c -mean (SD)	7.6 (2.2)
Negative self-image ^d -mean (SD)	6.4 (2.1)
Overall stigma ^e —mean (SD)	27.5 (5.4)

^aScore range = 3 to 12, higher scores indicate greater stigma.

^bScore range = 3 to 12, higher scores indicate greater stigma.

^cScore range = 3 to 12, higher scores indicate greater stigma.

^dScore range = 3 to 12, higher scores indicate greater stigma.

^eScore range = 12 to 44, higher scores indicate greater stigma; OM, observation with missing value; cART, combination antiretroviral therapy.

HIV-Related Characteristics of Older Adults Living With HIV

All the OALWH were receiving HIV treatment, most (90%) of whom were on a first-line cART regimen. Most (95.3%) of them

had disclosed their HIV status. The mean (SD) duration of HIV treatment was 11.4 (4.3) years. Additionally, nearly all of them (98.1%) had suppressed viral load (\leq 1,000 copies/mL). Further details are highlighted in **Table 2**.

Frailty Prevalence Estimates

The overall prevalence of frailty across the sample was 19.4% (95% CI: 15.7–23.4). Groupwise, older adults living with HIV presented with a significantly higher prevalence of frailty (23.9%) than their uninfected peers (12.8%), p < 0.01 (**Table 3**).

Association Between HIV Status and Frailty

In univariate logistic regression analyses (**Table 4**), HIV seropositivity was significantly associated with higher odds of frailty (OR 2.13; 95% CI 1.26, 3.60). However, in the multivariable logistic regression model (**Table 4**), HIV seropositivity was not significantly associated with frailty (aOR 1.26; 95% CI 0.60, 2.63).

Determinants of Frailty in Older Adults Living With HIV

Table 5 presents results from univariate and multivariate logisticregression analyses exploring the determinants of frailty amongolder adults living with HIV.

In the multivariable logistic regression model, factors significantly associated with higher odds of frailty among OALWH were sleeping difficulties in the past month, increasing ageism scores, visiting traditional healers, high waist-to-hip ratio, a history of cART regimen change/ interruption, and a history of prolonged illness after HIV diagnosis. On the other hand, having a social network of close friends, a larger household, a higher household income (\geq 10,000 Ksh; about \$86) and taking part in light physical activities such as walking in the past week were significantly associated with lower odds of frailty.

Determinants of Frailty in Older Adults Without HIV

In multivariable analyses (**Table 6**), sleeping difficulties in the past month, self-reported diabetes, and light physical activities in the past week were significantly associated with higher odds of

TABLE 3 Prevalence of frailty in OALWH versus their uninfected peers (The HIV-associated Neurocognitive Disorders study, Kenya, 2020 and 2021).

	Older adul	Its without HIV, <i>n</i> = 179	Older a	dults living with HIV, n = 255	Total sample, <i>n</i> = 434		<i>p</i> -value (between groups)	
	Number	Prevalence (95% CI)	Number	Prevalence (95% CI)	Number	Prevalence (95% CI)		
Not frail	119	66.5 (59.1–73.3)	140	54.9 (48.6–61.1)	259	59.7 (54.9–64.3)	0.02	
Prefrail	37	20.7 (15.0-27.3)	54	21.2 (16.3-26.7)	91	21.0 (17.2-25.1)	0.9	
Frail	23	12.8 (8.3–18.7)	61	23.9 (18.8–29.6)	84	19.4 (15.7–23.4)	<0.01	

95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

Note: The number of respondents reported in this table (434) is slightly lower than the overall sample size (440) because six participants were dropped from the analysis due to incomplete data on the fraility tool.

TABLE 4 Association between HIV status and frailty among older adults (The HIV-associated Neurocognitive Disorders study, Kenya, 2020 and 2021).

Covariate	Positive screen for frailty		
	Crude analysis OR (95% CI)	Adjusted analysis aOR (95% Cl)	
HIV status			
Seronegative	Ref	Ref	
Seropositive	2.13** (1.26, 3.60)	1.26 (0.60, 2.63)	
Sex			
Female		Ref	
Male		1.29 (0.62, 2.70)	
Age (years)			
50–59		Ref	
60–69		1.26 (0.64, 2.50)	
Above 70		1.20 (0.40, 3.61)	
Abnormal waist-hip ratio			
No		Ref	
Yes		2.75** (1.41, 5.37)	
Household size			
One to two people		Ref	
Three to six people		0.37* (0.17, 0.82)	
Seven and above		0.36 (0.12, 1.04)	
Monthly household income (Ksh)			
≤10,000		Ref	
Above 10,000		0.49 (0.22, 1.08)	
Social network of close friends			
No		Ref	
Yes		0.34 (0.10, 1.17)	
Caring for a sick family member			
No		Ref	
Yes		0.51* (0.27, 0.97)	
Functional disability score		1.05 (0.98, 1.12)	
Ageism score		1.08** (1.03, 1.13)	
Sleeping difficulties for the past month			
None		Ref	
Sometimes		3.70** (1.93, 7.10)	
Most of the time/always		2.94 (0.99, 8.76)	
Sexually active			
No		Ref	
Yes		0.54 (0.26, 1.10)	
Seeking the services of traditional healers			
No		Ref	
Yes		2.95* (1.24, 7.05)	
Self-reported hearing			
Very good		Ref	
Averagely/very bad		1.58 (0.78, 3.22)	
History of cerebrovascular accident			
No		Ref	
Yes		5.87 (0.85, 40.68)	
Number of individuals in the final model		427	
Hosmer-Lemeshow Test		$X^2 = 402.62; p = 0.36$	
Variance explained		30.81%	

Cl, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; Ref, reference group.

* – p-value < 0.05, ** – p-value < 0.01. Note: The number of individuals in the final model (427) is slightly lower than the actual sample size (440) because some of the observations had missing values.

TABLE 5 | Univariate and multivariable analysis of correlates of frailty among OALWH (The HIV-associated Neurocognitive Disorders study, Kenya, 2020 and 2021).

Covariate	Positive screen for frailty by mod-REFS		
	Univariate analysis OR (95% Cl)	Multivariable analysis aOR (95% CI)	
Age (years) 50–59 60–69 ≥70	Ref 0.96 (0.53, 1.76) 0.66 (0.18, 2.41)	Ref 1.58 (0.63, 3.96) 0.33 (0.05, 2.05)	
Sex Female Male	Ref 1.09 (0.60, 1.99)	Ref 1.32 (0.52, 3.39)	
Monthly household income (Ksh) ≤10,000 Above 10,000	Ref 0.26*** (0.09, 0.76)	Ref 0.13** (0.03, 0.60)	
Household size One to two Three to six Above seven	Ref 0.33*** (0.17, 0.63) 0.26*** (0.09, 0.73)	Ref 0.35** (0.12, 0.99) 0.26 (0.06, 1.15)	
Caring for a sick family member No Yes	Ref 0.13*** (0.07, 0.24)		
Having close friends (<i>social network</i>) No Yes	Ref 0.09*** (0.03, 0.31)	Ref 0.13*** (0.03, 0.56)	
Access to instrumental/social support Not accessing Sometimes Most of the time/always	Ref 2.53*** (1.33, 4.84) 1.48 (0.44, 5.05)	- - -	
Food insecurity (lack of food in the past week) Never Sometimes Most of the time/always	Ref 2.36*** (1.27, 4.40) 1.25 (0.43, 3.67)		
A+sset index, mean (SD)	1.16* (0.97, 1.38)	_	
Waist hip ratio Normal Abnormal	Ref 2.23*** (1.22, 4.06)	Ref 3.67*** (1.48, 9.10)	
Self-reported Hypertension No Yes	Ref 0.37*** (0.19, 0.74)		
Loneliness score, mean (SD)	1.15*** (1.06, 1.24)	-	
Functional disability score, mean (SD)	1.13*** (1.06, 1.20)	_	
Ageism score, mean (SD)	1.14*** (1.08, 1.19)	1.11*** (1.04, 1.18)	
Days spent doing light activities in the past week, mean (SD)	0.69*** (0.58, 0.82)	0.77*** (0.61, 0.98)	
Hours spent in sedentary behaviours in a day, mean (SD)	0.79*** (0.70, 0.90)	_	
Sexually active No Yes	Ref 0.60* (0.33, 1.11)	Ξ	
Sleeping difficulties in the past month None Sometimes Most of the time/always	Ref 5.44*** (2.77, 10.71) 7.82*** (3.04, 20.15)	Ref 2.80** (1.14, 6.87) 1.92 (0.49, 7.43)	
Seeking the services of traditional healers No Yes	Ref 5.60*** (2.17, 14.46)	Ref 9.86*** (2.45, 39.68)	
HIV status disclosure (family/relatives) No Yes	Ref 3.55*** (1.91, 6.61)		

TABLE 5 | (Continued) Univariate and multivariable analysis of correlates of frailty among OALWH (The HIV-associated Neurocognitive Disorders study, Kenya, 2020 and 2021).

Covariate	Positive screen for frailty by mod-REFS		
	Univariate analysis OR (95% Cl)	Multivariable analysis aOR (95% Cl)	
History of a neurological condition			
No	Ref	_	
Yes	5.15*** (1.87, 14.21)	-	
Prolonged period of illness following HIV diagnosis			
No	Ref	Ref	
Yes	3.72*** (1.66, 8.35)	6.58*** (2.13, 20.33)	
ART Regimen			
1st line	Ref	_	
2nd line	3.07*** (1.27, 7.38)	_	
Mean HIV duration (SD)	1.05* (0.98, 1.13)	_	
Viral suppression			
Yes	Ref	_	
No	8.56* (0.87, 84.01)	-	
cART regimen change/interruption since HIV diagnosis			
No	Ref	Ref	
Yes	6.86*** (3.55, 13.26)	3.36*** (1.43, 7.91)	
Access to HIV clinic			
Not easily accessible	Ref	-	
Easily accessible	1.77* (0.90, 3.52)	-	
Perceived HIV-stigma score, mean (SD)			
Personalized stigma	0.85* (0.72, 1.00)	-	
Disclosure concerns	1.37*** (1.16, 1.62)		
Concerns about public attitudes	1.18** (1.02, 1.36)	_	
Overall stigma	1.05** (0.99, 1.11)	-	
n for the final model		248	
Variance explained		43.35%	
Hosmer-Lemeshow test		X ² = 235.00; <i>p</i> -value = 0.07	
cvMean AUC (95% CI)		0.90 (0.86, 0.95)	

Only a priori variables (age, sex) and those with p-value < 0.15 in the univariate analysis or multivariable p < 0.05 are presented here. OR, odds ratio; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; Ref, reference group; cv/Mean AUC, cross-validated mean area under the curve for the final multivariable model.

* - p-value < 0.15, ** - p-value < 0.05, *** - p-value < 0.01.

Note: The number of individuals in the final model (248) is slightly lower than the actual sample size for older adults living with HIV (257) because some of the observations had missing values.

frailty among the HIV uninfected older adults. Conversely, being male was significantly associated with reduced odds of frailty in these adults.

DISCUSSION

Our study adds to the growing number of reports on the burden and determinants of frailty in low- and middle-income countries. In this study, the prevalence of frailty was significantly higher in OALWH (23.9%; 95% CI 18.8–29.6) compared to their uninfected peers (12.8%; 95% CI 8.3–18.7); however, HIV seropositivity was not significantly associated with frailty after adjusting for biopsychosocial factors. To our knowledge, there have been only two previous studies of frailty among OALWH in SSA. The first one, a cross-sectional sample of 145 OALWH (67% female) on ART and a median age of 57 years in Tanzania, reported a low prevalence of frailty (2.8%) [50]. The other one, a population-based cohort of 614 older adults (292 OALWH) in South Africa, reported a frailty prevalence of 17.7% in OALWH compared to 14.7% in their uninfected peers [51]. The variations in frailty prevalence could partly be attributed to differences in frailty measurement and the fact that older adults are a highly heterogeneous group, having different genetic backgrounds, medical profiles, and biological, as well as social-environmental factors at different stages of life, thus highlighting the need for country-specific frailty data using tools validated within the country or region of interest. Our finding of a higher prevalence of frailty in OALWH than their uninfected peers is consistent with previous evidence, which has demonstrated both higher frequency of frailty in OALWH and the development of frailty at earlier ages for OALWH than for individuals without HIV [17]. Many factors may predispose OALWH to elevated rates of frailty, including the effects of persistent inflammation from HIV (even in well-controlled viraemia),

TABLE 6 | Univariate and multivariable analysis of correlates of frailty among HIV-uninfected older adults (The HIV-associated Neurocognitive Disorders study, Kenya, 2020 and 2021).

Covariate	Positive screen for frailty by mod-REFS		
	Univariate analysis OR (95% CI)	Multivariable analysis aOR (95% CI)	
Age (years)			
50–59	Ref	Ref	
60–69	1.73 (0.62, 4.81)	0.91 (0.26, 3.22)	
≥70	3.38** (1.02, 11.24)	4.61 (0.95, 22.29)	
Sex			
Female	Ref	Ref	
Male	2.29* (0.89, 5.86)	0.26** (0.07, 0.94)	
Monthly household income (Ksh)			
≤10,000	Ref	_	
Above 10,000	0.51* (0.21, 1.24)	_	
Number of close family members/friends one interacted with at least once in the past month, mean (SD)	0.90* (0.81, 1.01)	_	
Loneliness score, mean (SD)	1.11* (0.97, 1.26)	-	
Functional disability score, mean (SD)	1.13** (1.00, 1.27)	_	
Ageism score, mean (SD)	1.08* (0.99, 1.17)	_	
Days spent doing light activities in the past week, mean (SD)	1.25** (1.03, 1.52)	1.29** (1.01, 1.66)	
Sexually active			
No	Ref	_	
Yes	0.27*** (0.11, 0.70)	_	
Sleeping difficulties in the past month			
None	Ref	Ref	
Sometimes	6.27*** (2.35, 16.70)	4.30** (1.41, 13.07)	
Most of the time/always	11.05** (1.58, 77.26)	14.72** (1.11, 194.78)	
Self-reported hearing			
Very good	Ref	_	
Averagely/very bad	4.69*** (1.83, 11.99)	_	
Frequent bodily pain			
No	Ref	_	
Yes	2.39* (0.97, 5.89)	_	
Self-reported diabetes			
No	Ref	Ref	
Yes	8.00*** (1.85, 34.64)	8.35** (1.23, 56.92)	
Self-reported hypertension			
No	Ref	_	
Yes	3.29** (1.33, 8.11)	_	
Number of medications participants are currently using, mean (SD)	1.38* (0.98, 1.93)	_	
<i>n</i> for the final model	(1112, 1122)	177	
Variance explained		30.33%	
Hosmer-Lemeshow test		X ² = 110.02; <i>p</i> -value = 0.97	
cvMean AUC (95% Cl)		0.87 (0.79, 0.94)	

Only a priori variables (age, sex) and those with p-value < 0.15 in the univariate analysis or multivariable p < 0.05 are presented here. OR, odds ratio; aOR, adjusted odds ratio; Ref, reference group; cvMean AUC, cross-validated mean area under the curve for the final multivariable model. * – p-value < 0.15, ** – p-value < 0.05, *** – p-value < 0.01. Note: The number of individuals in the final model is slightly lower than the actual sample size of older adults without HIV because some of the observations had missing values.

toxic effects of earlier cART regimens, delayed initiation of ART, and higher rates of multimorbidity [52].

Literature suggests that it is a constellation of environmental, disease-specific, and biological factors that contribute to frailty [17, 24–33], though most of the evidence is concentrated in HICs. In the present study, the factors significantly associated with frailty were predominantly psychosocial, many of which are

potentially modifiable with appropriate programs and interventions. Consistent with previous studies [33, 53], our study showed that sleeping difficulties were associated with higher odds of frailty in OALWH and their uninfected peers. Sleep problems may impact frailty in numerous ways, including decreased energy expenditure, elevated inflammatory response, disturbed hormonal pathways, tissue growth and repair [53]. These mechanisms may explain the associations observed. Interventions targeting sleeping problems—such as exercise and mindfulness-based stress reduction, may have potential clinical implications for OALWH and their uninfected peers.

Increasing ageism scores were also significantly associated with elevated odds of frailty in OALWH in our study. Ageism, commonly conceptualized as the stereotyping, prejudice and discrimination against people based on age, is becoming increasingly important in older adults [54]. Very few studies have examined the possible association between frailty and ageism. Our finding is consistent with the limited previous research [55]. Persistent exposure to ageism could lead to the internalization of the ageist messages by the OALWH, thus becoming part of their unconscious beliefs. In some cases, the adults may act subconsciously to fulfil the ageist stereotypes, even if detrimental to their health and wellbeing, e.g., physiologic stress response, and physical functioning performance, which may influence frailty. The observed association could also be explained by the health status and higher educational levels among OALWH.

A higher waist/hip ratio was also significantly associated with elevated odds of frailty among OALWH in our study, consistent with previous findings of a positive association between central/ abdominal obesity and frailty [56, 57]. As HIV infection has become a manageable chronic illness, it has been progressively accompanied by a growing prevalence of overweight and obesity [58]. Moreover, long-term treatment with cART may contribute to lipodystrophy, often characterized by fat redistribution with a relative increase in abdominal fat [59]. Lipid depositions and infiltration in muscle fibre may bring about frailty by decreasing mobility and increasing loss of muscle strength. Interventions to minimize obesity and sedentary behaviour could potentially be beneficial in addressing frailty.

Visiting traditional healers was significantly associated with elevated odds of frailty among OALWH in our study. We are not aware of previous research that has examined this relationship. Plausibly, frail individuals visit the healers to have their frailty symptoms addressed, especially when the primary care services fail to address these concerns. A previous qualitative exploration of the health and wellbeing of OALWH in the study setting has linked seeking help or treatment from traditional and certain faith healers with poor health outcomes, including defaulting HIV treatment and unsuppressed viral load [60]. Healthcare providers have a reason to be concerned, given the observed impacts of untreated or improperly treated HIV on the development of frailty among OALWH.

Only two HIV-related factors were associated with elevated odds of frailty in our sample: a history of cART regimen change/ interruption and prolonged illness following HIV diagnosis. These factors may be indicators of virological failure, ART toxicity or late HIV diagnosis, commonly associated with poorer health outcomes and risk of severe disease. Indeed, previous research has revealed an independent positive association between AIDS diagnosis, viral-load nonsuppression, low CD4 count and frailty [17, 61]. Many of the OALWH who have lived with HIV for several years may be significantly impacted by the legacy of the early years of the epidemic, thus predisposing them to a heightened risk of frailty. These adults will more likely require additional support to manage the challenges of ageing with HIV successfully.

Several social factors, e.g., social isolation, social networks, socioeconomic status, social support, social engagement, and social capital, have the potential to influence the health of older adults [62]. In our study, higher monthly household income, residence in a larger household, and having a social network of close friends were all associated with reduced odds of frailty among OALWH, thus confirming previous research [17, 31] and highlighting the importance of promoting positive social factors to aid healthy ageing in older adults living with HIV. These factors may, directly and indirectly, affect frailty, e.g., food security, energy expenditure, and better health-seeking behaviours.

Physical activities have the potential to promote physical function, prevent falls and improve general health, hence delaying the onset and progression of frailty. In our study, participating in light physical activities such as walking was associated with reduced odds of frailty among OALWH, confirming previous research [63]. Exercise may also have positive effects on obesity, stress, loneliness in the case of group activities and muscular strength, which have been identified as potential risk factors for the incidence of frailty. However, light physical activities were associated with elevated odds of frailty among the HIV uninfected older adults in our study. This was a surprise finding, inconsistent with previous investigations [64–66]. This warrants more exploration in the study setting.

Self-reported diabetes was also associated with higher odds of frailty among HIV uninfected older adults in our study, consistent with previous reports [67]. Prospective evidence suggests that unhealthy behaviours and obesity may partly explain the association, and to a larger extent, by poor glucose control and altered serum lipid profile among individuals with diabetes, suggesting that diabetes nutritional therapy may reduce the risk of frailty [67]. Individuals with diabetic neuropathy are particularly at an increased risk of early-onset frailty [68]. Prevention programmes in the pre-frail states through appropriate exercise, nutrition and glycemic control may delay the development of frailty in these adults.

Similar to previous studies, this study showed that males are less likely to be frail than females, suggesting that being male is a protective factor against frailty [24]. Differences in physical activity, muscle mass and higher fat percentages may explain gender discrepancies in frailty. This may also be a question of selection—a classical observation in gerontological research where women live longer but in poorer health [69]. In this respect, men experience more life-threatening chronic conditions compared to women who experience more "non-life-threatening" conditions associated with more morbidity—as such the men who survive are those who tend to have better health status. Still, our observation may be related to social stigma of males appearing/acting/reporting weakness, that is, social preference bias, given the self-reported nature of the construct.

Implications

The prevalence of frailty in this study was relatively high for both OALWH and their uninfected peers. Preventing, delaying, or treating frailty is more critical in this setting, given its high burden and the fact that frailty is a known predictor of future disability and dependency. Within the clinical practice, an easy-to-use frailty score will allow the easy identification of those at risk, thus allowing planning of future health and social care needs of these adults. Our study also highlighted some of the correlates of frailty in this setting. Many of the factors identified, such as sleeping difficulties, social engagement, ageism, and visiting traditional healers, are potentially modifiable with appropriate programs. Our findings provide the foundation for developing culturally appropriate interventions and healthcare strategies to prevent, delay and manage frailty and its consequences to improve the health and functional status of older adults at risk of frailty. Individual, community-based, or clinic-based interventions such as comprehensive geriatric assessment, physical activity, promoting social engagement, addressing discrimination, and proper management of comorbidities, e.g., diabetes, may benefit older adults. Wider public health approaches, including proactive testing of older adults to avoid late diagnosis and advanced immunosuppression, will benefit OALWH. Our results also highlight the need for well-designed prospective studies to establish the incidence, pathophysiology, predictors of transition and outcomes of frailty in this population and assess potential interventions.

Strengths and Limitations

Our study is among the very few reports on frailty in SSA and the first one in Kenya. It extends the existing evidence base regarding the prevalence and correlates of frailty in low-resource settings like Kenya. A further strength is the use of a relatively large sample size of people \geq 50 years living with HIV and the inclusion of a communitybased comparison group which enabled us to give a detailed profile of frailty in this population. We also collected detailed information on sociodemographic, physical, lifestyle and psychological factors, which helped us examine the correlates of frailty in this population. The primary limitation, nonetheless, was the use of a cross-sectional design, which precludes any conclusions on causality. Besides, our sample was predominantly from a rural setting; thus, our participants' experiences may differ from those in urban places. Also, the OALWH were invited to participate from a health facility (i.e., not a population-based sample) and may not be entirely representative of all OALWH in this area. For instance, the sample of OALWH in the study had very high levels of cART treatment and viral suppression, and our observations would probably be different in individuals not seeking care (either because they are unaware of their status or do not believe they need treatment).

Conclusion

In this cross-sectional study, we found a significantly higher prevalence of frailty among OALWH (24%) compared to their uninfected peers (13%). However, HIV seropositivity was not significantly associated with frailty after adjustment for demographic, psychosocial and physical factors, underscoring the importance of these factors. Specifically, OALWH who experience sleeping difficulties, ageism, have a high waist/hip ratio, visit traditional healers, have a history of cART regimen change/interruption and prolonged illness after HIV diagnosis have higher odds of frailty. In contrast, those who reside in larger households, have higher household income, have a social network of friends, and engage in light physical activity have reduced odds of frailty. On the other hand, HIV-uninfected older adults with sleeping difficulties, self-reported diabetes and taking part in light physical activities have elevated odds of frailty, while being male is associated with reduced odds of frailty. These factors should be considered in designing and implementing programs to prevent, delay, or treat frailty in this setting. Further prospective work is required to investigate the directionality and potential mediators of the association between frailty and the observed correlates.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Application for data access can be made through the Data Governance Committee of the KEMRI Wellcome Trust Research Programme who will review the application and advise as appropriate, ensuring that uses are compatible with the consent obtained from participants for data collection. Requests can be sent to the coordinator of the Data Governance Committee using the following email, dgc@kemriwellcome.org.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving humans were approved by the Kenya Medical Research Institute Scientific and Ethics Review Unit (Ref: KEMRI/SERU/CGMR-C/152/3804). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PM, CRN, and AA conceptualized the study. PM, CRN, RW, and AA designed the study. PM and CN programmed the study questions on tablets and managed project data for the entire study period. PM analysed the data. PM, CN, RW, CRN, and AA contributed to the interpretation of the data. PM wrote the first draft of the manuscript, and all the authors reviewed the subsequent versions and approved the final draft for submission.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the Wellcome Trust International Master's Fellowship to PM (Grant number 208283/Z/17/Z). Further funding supporting this work was from 1) the Medical Research Council (Grant number MR/M025454/1) to AA. This award is jointly funded by the UK Medical Research Council (MRC) and the UK Department for International Development (DFID) under MRC/DFID

concordant agreement and is also part of the EDCTP2 program supported by the European Union; 2) DELTAS Africa Initiative [DEL-15-003]. The DELTAS Africa Initiative is an independent funding scheme of the African Academy of Sciences (AAS)'s Alliance for Accelerating Excellence in Science in Africa (AESA) and supported by the New Partnership for Africa's Development Planning and Coordinating Agency (NEPAD Agency) with funding from the Wellcome Trust [107769/Z/ 10/Z] and the UK government. The funders did not have a role in the design and conduct of the study or interpretation of study findings. RW is supported by the South African National Research Foundation (119234).

AUTHOR DISCLAIMER

The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of AAS, NEPAD Agency, Wellcome Trust or the UK government.

REFERENCES

- Nations U. Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2019). World Population Ageing 2019: Highlights (ST/ESA/ SER. A/430).
- Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in Elderly People. The lancet (2013) 381(9868):752–62. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62167-9
- Sezgin D, O'Donovan M, Cornally N, Liew A, O'Caoimh R. Defining Frailty for Healthcare Practice and Research: A Qualitative Systematic Review With Thematic Analysis. *Int J Nurs Stud* (2019) 92:16–26. doi:10.1016/j.ijnurstu. 2018.12.014
- Viña J, Tarazona-Santabalbina FJ, Pérez-Ros P, Martínez-Arnau FM, Borras C, Olaso-Gonzalez G, et al. Biology of Frailty: Modulation of Ageing Genes and its Importance to Prevent Age-Associated Loss of Function. *Mol aspects Med* (2016) 50:88–108. doi:10.1016/j.mam.2016.04.005
- Kojima G. Frailty as a Predictor of Emergency Department Utilization Among Community-Dwelling Older People: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Am Med Directors Assoc (2019) 20(1):103–5. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2018. 10.004
- Kojima G. Frailty as a Predictor of Disabilities Among Community-Dwelling Older People: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Disabil Rehabil* (2017) 39(19):1897–908. doi:10.1080/09638288.2016.1212282
- Crocker TF, Brown L, Clegg A, Farley K, Franklin M, Simpkins S, et al. Quality of Life Is Substantially Worse for Community-Dwelling Older People Living With Frailty: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Qual Life Res* (2019) 28(8):2041–56. doi:10.1007/s11136-019-02149-1
- Kojima G, Taniguchi Y, Iliffe S, Walters K. Frailty as a Predictor of Alzheimer Disease, Vascular Dementia, and All Dementia Among Community-Dwelling Older People: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Am Med Directors Assoc (2016) 17(10):881–8. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2016.05.013
- Kojima G, Iliffe S, Walters K. Frailty Index as a Predictor of Mortality: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Age and ageing* (2018) 47(2):193–200. doi:10.1093/ageing/afx162
- Gray WK, Richardson J, McGuire J, Dewhurst F, Elder V, Weeks J, et al. Frailty Screening in Low-and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. J Am Geriatr Soc (2016) 64(4):806–23. doi:10.1111/jgs.14069
- Payne CF, Wade A, Kabudula CW, Davies JI, Chang AY, Gomez-Olive FX, et al. Prevalence and Correlates of Frailty in an Older Rural African Population: Findings From the HAALSI Cohort Study. *BMC Geriatr* (2017) 17(1):293–10. doi:10.1186/s12877-017-0694-y
- 12. Barker FJ, Davies JI, Gomez-Olive FX, Kahn K, Matthews FE, Payne CF, et al. Developing and Evaluating a Frailty Index for Older South Africans—Findings

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they do not have any conflicts of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

An earlier version of this manuscript has been uploaded to Research Square preprint server ahead of peer review [70]. We would also like to thank all the participants who voluntarily participated in this study. We are grateful to the community health volunteers and healthcare providers at the HIV clinics for their overwhelming support during the study. We also acknowledge Sadaka Charo, Richard Karisa, Irene Kasichana, Maureen Nyadzua, Haprity Mwangata, Linda Moranga, Khamis Katana, Beatrice Kabunda, Katana Ngombo, Alfred Ngombo, Collins Kipkoech, and Martha Kombe for their role in data collection. This work is published with the permission of the director of the Kenya Medical Research Institute.

From the HAALSI Study. Age and ageing (2021) 50(6):2167–73. doi:10.1093/ ageing/afab111

- Biritwum R, Minicuci N, Yawson A, Theou O, Mensah G, Naidoo N, et al. Prevalence of and Factors Associated With Frailty and Disability in Older Adults From China, Ghana, India, Mexico, Russia and South Africa. *Maturitas* (2016) 91:8–18. doi:10.1016/j.maturitas.2016.05.012
- Hoogendijk EO, Rijnhart JJ, Kowal P, Pérez-Zepeda MU, Cesari M, Abizanda P, et al. Socioeconomic Inequalities in Frailty Among Older Adults in Six Low-And Middle-Income Countries: Results From the WHO Study on Global AGEing and Adult Health (SAGE). *Maturitas* (2018) 115:56–63. doi:10.1016/j. maturitas.2018.06.011
- O'Caoimh R, Galluzzo L, Rodríguez-Laso Á, Van der Heyden J, Ranhoff AH, Lamprini-Koula M, et al. Prevalence of Frailty at Population Level in European ADVANTAGE Joint Action Member States: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Annali dell'Istituto superiore di sanita* (2018) 54(3):226–38. doi:10. 4415/ANN_18_03_10
- Da Mata FAF, Pereira PPS, Andrade KRC, Figueiredo ACMG, Silva MT, Pereira MG. Prevalence of Frailty in Latin America and the Caribbean: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PloS one* (2016) 11(8):e0160019. doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0160019
- Levett TJ, Cresswell FV, Malik MA, Fisher M, Wright J. Systematic Review of Prevalence and Predictors of Frailty in Individuals With Human Immunodeficiency Virus. J Am Geriatr Soc (2016) 64(5):1006–14. doi:10. 1111/jgs.14101
- Lewis EG, Coles S, Howorth K, Kissima J, Gray W, Urasa S, et al. The Prevalence and Characteristics of Frailty by Frailty Phenotype in Rural Tanzania. BMC Geriatr (2018) 18(1):283–11. doi:10.1186/s12877-018-0967-0
- Lewis EG, Wood G, Howorth K, Shah B, Mulligan L, Kissima J, et al. Prevalence of Frailty in Older Community-Dwelling Tanzanians According to Comprehensive Geriatric Assessment. J Am Geriatr Soc (2018) 66(8): 1484–90. doi:10.1111/jgs.15433
- Gray WK, Orega G, Kisoli A, Rogathi J, Paddick S-M, Longdon AR, et al. Identifying Frailty and Its Outcomes in Older People in Rural Tanzania. *Exp* Aging Res (2017) 43(3):257–73. doi:10.1080/0361073X.2017.1298957
- Adebusoye LA, Cadmus EO, Owolabi MO, Ogunniyi A. Frailty and Mortality Among Older Patients in a Tertiary Hospital in Nigeria. *Ghana Med J* (2019) 53(3):210–6. doi:10.4314/gmj.v53i3.5
- 22. Witham MD, Davies JI, Bärnighausen T, Bountogo M, Manne-Goehler J, Payne CF, et al. Frailty and Physical Performance in the Context of Extreme Poverty: A Population-Based Study of Older Adults in Rural Burkina Faso. Wellcome open Res (2019) 4:135. doi:10.12688/wellcomeopenres.15455.1
- 23. Mwangala PN, Mabrouk A, Wagner R, Newton CR, Abubakar AA. Mental Health and Well-Being of Older Adults Living With HIV in Sub-Saharan

Africa: A Systematic Review. BMJ open (2021) 11(9):e052810. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-052810

- 24. Feng Z, Lugtenberg M, Franse C, Fang X, Hu S, Jin C, et al. Risk Factors and Protective Factors Associated With Incident or Increase of Frailty Among Community-Dwelling Older Adults: A Systematic Review of Longitudinal Studies. *PloS one* (2017) 12(6):e0178383. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0178383
- Verlaan S, Ligthart-Melis GC, Wijers SL, Cederholm T, Maier AB, de van der Schueren MA. High Prevalence of Physical Frailty Among Community-Dwelling Malnourished Older Adults-A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. J Am Med Directors Assoc (2017) 18(5):374–82. doi:10.1016/j. jamda.2016.12.074
- Mello AC, Engstrom EM, Alves LC. Health-Related and Socio-Demographic Factors Associated With Frailty in the Elderly: A Systematic Literature Review. *Cadernos de saude publica* (2014) 30:1143–68. doi:10.1590/0102-311x00148213
- Kojima G, Iliffe S, Walters K. Smoking as a Predictor of Frailty: A Systematic Review. BMC Geriatr (2015) 15(1):131–7. doi:10.1186/s12877-015-0134-9
- Tian R, Almeida OP, Jayakody DM, Ford AH. Association Between Hearing Loss and Frailty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *BMC Geriatr* (2021) 21(1):333–13. doi:10.1186/s12877-021-02274-y
- Tan BKJ, Man REK, Gan ATL, Fenwick EK, Varadaraj V, Swenor BK, et al. Is Sensory Loss an Understudied Risk Factor for Frailty? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *The Journals Gerontol Ser A* (2020) 75(12):2461–70. doi:10.1093/gerona/glaa171
- Saraiva MD, Suzuki GS, Lin SM, de Andrade DC, Jacob-Filho W, Suemoto CK. Persistent Pain Is a Risk Factor for Frailty: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis From Prospective Longitudinal Studies. *Age and ageing* (2018) 47(6): 785–93. doi:10.1093/ageing/afy104
- Kojima G, Taniguchi Y, Kitamura A, Fujiwara Y. Is Living Alone a Risk Factor of Frailty? A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Ageing Res Rev* (2020) 59: 101048. doi:10.1016/j.arr.2020.101048
- Kehler DS, Hay JL, Stammers AN, Hamm NC, Kimber DE, Schultz AS, et al. A Systematic Review of the Association Between Sedentary Behaviors With Frailty. *Exp Gerontol* (2018) 114:1–12. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2018.10.010
- 33. Pourmotabbed A, Boozari B, Babaei A, Asbaghi O, Campbell MS, Mohammadi H, et al. Sleep and Frailty Risk: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sleep* and Breathing (2020) 24(3):1187–97. doi:10.1007/s11325-020-02061-w
- 34. Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. *Kenya Population and Housing Census Volume III*. Nairobi: Distribution of Population by Age and Sex (2019).
- 35. Ministry of Health. Kenya STEPwise Survey for Non-Communicable Diseases Risk Factors 2015 Report. Kenya Nairobi: Ministry of Health (2015).
- 36. National AIDS and STI Control Programme. *Preliminary KENPHIA* 2018 Report. Nairobi: NASCOP (2020).
- Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. *The 2019 Kenya Population and Housing Census. Volume I* (2019). Population by County and Sub-County 2019. Available From: http://housingfinanceafrica.org/app/uploads/VOLUME-I-KPHC-2019.pdf (Accessed March 9, 2022).
- National AIDS Control Council (NACC). Kenya HIV County Profiles 2016. Kenya: National AIDS Control Council (2016).
- Mombasa County Government. First County Integrated Development Plan 2013 (2013). Available From: http://kenyachamber.co.ke/wp-content/uploads/ 2017/02/1st-CIDP-2013-2017-Mombasa-County.pdf (Accessed April 13, 2022).
- Kooij KW, Wit FW, Schouten J, van der Valk M, Godfried MH, Stolte IG, et al. HIV Infection Is Independently Associated With Frailty in Middle-Aged HIV Type 1-Infected Individuals Compared With Similar But Uninfected Controls. *Aids* (2016) 30(2):241–50. doi:10.1097/QAD.000000000000910
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap)—A Metadata-Driven Methodology and Workflow Process for Providing Translational Research Informatics Support. J Biomed Inform (2009) 42(2):377–81. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010
- Abubakar A, Van De Vijver FJ. How to Adapt Tests for Sub-Saharan Africa. In: Handbook of Applied Developmental Science in Sub-Saharan Africa. New York, NY: Springer (2017). p. 197–212.
- Reinius M, Wettergren L, Wiklander M, Svedhem V, Ekström AM, Eriksson LE. Development of a 12-Item Short Version of the HIV Stigma Scale. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* (2017) 15(1):115–9. doi:10.1186/s12955-017-0691-z

- 44. Üstün TB, Chatterji S, Kostanjsek N, Rehm J, Kennedy C, Epping-Jordan J, et al. Developing the World Health Organization Disability Assessment Schedule 2.0. Bull World Health Organ (2010) 88:815–23. doi:10.2471/BLT. 09.067231
- Hays RD, DiMatteo MR. A Short-Form Measure of Loneliness. J Personal Assess (1987) 51(1):69–81. doi:10.1207/s15327752jpa5101_6
- Palmore E. The Ageism Survey: First Findings. *The gerontologist* (2001) 41(5): 572–5. doi:10.1093/geront/41.5.572
- Rose M, Yang A, Welz M, Masik A, Staples M. Novel Modification of the Reported Edmonton Frail Scale. *Australas J Ageing* (2018) 37(4):305–8. doi:10. 1111/ajag.12533
- Richards SJ, D'Souza J, Pascoe R, Falloon M, Frizelle FA. Prevalence of Frailty in a Tertiary Hospital: A Point Prevalence Observational Study. *Plos one* (2019) 14(7):e0219083. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0219083
- Rubtsova AA, Sabbag S, Sundermann E, Nguyen AL, Ellis RJ, Moore DJ, et al. Frailty and Neurocognitive Impairment: Impacts on Quality of Life in HIV. *The J Assoc Nurses AIDS Care JANAC* (2020) 31(3):290–300. doi:10.1097/JNC. 000000000000142
- Bristow C, George G, Hillsmith G, Rainey E, Urasa S, Koipapi S, et al. Low Levels of Frailty in HIV-Positive Older Adults on Antiretroviral Therapy in Northern Tanzania. *J Neurovirol* (2021) 27(1):58–69. doi:10.1007/s13365-020-00915-3
- Edwards A, Siedner MJ, Nash S, Neuman M, Danaviah S, Smit T, et al. HIV Serostatus, Inflammatory Biomarkers and the Frailty Phenotype Among Older People in Rural KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Afr J AIDS Res* (2020) 19(3): 177–85. doi:10.2989/16085906.2020.1790398
- 52. Willig AL, Overton ET, Saag MS. The Silent Epidemic–Frailty and Aging With HIV. *Total patient care in HIV & HCV* (2016) 1(1):6–17.
- 53. Piovezan RD, Poyares D, Tufik S. Frailty and Sleep Disturbances in the Elderly: Possible Connections and Clinical Implications. *Sleep Sci* (2013) 6(4):175–9.
- Lamont RA, Swift HJ, Abrams D. A Review and Meta-Analysis of Age-Based Stereotype Threat: Negative Stereotypes, Not Facts, Do the Damage. *Psychol Aging* (2015) 30(1):180–93. doi:10.1037/a0038586
- 55. Zora S, Cella A, Poli S, Veronese N, Zini E, Giannoni P, et al. Ageism" Is Associated With Self-Reported Multidimensional Frailty in Community-Dwelling Older Subjects: A Population-Based Study. *Front Med* (2021) 8: 734636. doi:10.3389/fmed.2021.734636
- Hawkins KL, Zhang L, Ng DK, Althoff KN, Palella FJ, Kingsley LA, et al. Abdominal Obesity, Sarcopenia, and Osteoporosis Are Associated With Frailty in Men Living With and Without HIV. *AIDS (London, England)* (2018) 32(10): 1257–66. doi:10.1097/QAD.00000000001829
- 57. Shah K, Hilton TN, Myers L, Pinto JF, Luque AE, Hall WJ. A New Frailty Syndrome: Central Obesity and Frailty in Older Adults With the Human Immunodeficiency Virus. J Am Geriatr Soc (2012) 60(3):545–9. doi:10.1111/j. 1532-5415.2011.03819.x
- Crum-Cianflone N, Roediger MP, Eberly L, Headd M, Marconi V, Ganesan A, et al. Increasing Rates of Obesity Among HIV-Infected Persons During the HIV Epidemic. *Plos one* (2010) 5(4):e10106. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0010106
- Calmy A, Hirschel B, Cooper DA, Carr A. A New Era of Antiretroviral Drug Toxicity. Antivir Ther (2009) 14(2):165–79. doi:10.1177/135965350901400203
- 60. Mwangala PN, Wagner RG, Newton CR, Abubakar A. Navigating Life With HIV as an Older Adult on the Kenyan Coast: Perceived Health Challenges Seen Through the Biopsychosocial Model. *Int J Public Health* (2023) 68:1605916. doi:10.3389/ijph.2023.1605916
- 61. Melo GC, Carvalho ACA, Mendes MLT, do Nascimento RO, de Araújo KCGM, Tanajura DM, et al. Association Between Frailty Phenotype, Quantification of Plasma HIV-1 RNA, CD4 Cell Count and HAART in HIV-Positive Subjects: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. *AIDS care* (2021) 34:1–10. doi:10.1080/09540121. 2021.1956414
- Andrew MK. Social Vulnerability in Old Age. In: Brocklehurst's Textbook of Geriatric Medicine and Gerontology. Elsevier (2010). p. 198–204.
- Erlandson KM, Piggott DA. Frailty and HIV: Moving From Characterization to Intervention. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep* (2021) 18:157–75. doi:10.1007/s11904-021-00554-1

- 64. Han CY, Miller M, Yaxley A, Baldwin C, Woodman R, Sharma Y. Effectiveness of Combined Exercise and Nutrition Interventions in Prefrail or Frail Older Hospitalised Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *BMJ open* (2020) 10(12):e040146. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-040146
- 65. De Labra Pinedo C, Guimaraes-Pinheiro C, Maseda A, Lorenzo-López T, Millán-Calenti JC. Effects of Physical Exercise Interventions in Frail Older Adults: A Systematic Review of Randomized Controlled Trials. *BMC Geriatr* (2015) 15. doi:10.1186/s12877-015-0155-4
- Paw MJ, van Uffelen JG, Riphagen I, Wv M. The Functional Effects of Physical Exercise Training in Frail Older People. Sports Med (2008) 38(9):781–93. doi:10.2165/00007256-200838090-00006
- García-Esquinas E, Graciani A, Guallar-Castillón P, López-García E, Rodríguez-Mañas L, Rodríguez-Artalejo F. Diabetes and Risk of Frailty and its Potential Mechanisms: A Prospective Cohort Study of Older Adults. *J Am Med Directors Assoc* (2015) 16(9):748–54. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2015. 04.008
- Tuttle LJ, Bittel DC, Bittel AJ, Sinacore DR. Early-Onset Physical Frailty in Adults With Diabesity and Peripheral Neuropathy. *Can J Diabetes* (2018) 42(5):478–83. doi:10.1016/j.jcjd.2017.12.001
- Park C, Ko FC. The Science of Frailty: Sex Differences. *Clin Geriatr Med* (2021) 37(4):625–38. doi:10.1016/j.cger.2021.05.008
- Mwangala PN, Nasambu C, Wagner RG, Newton CR, Abubakar A. Prevalence and Factors Associated With Frailty in Older Adults From the Kenyan Coast. *Researchsquare* (2022). doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-1763887/v1

Copyright © 2024 Mwangala, Nasambu, Wagner, Newton and Abubakar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.