



# Reducing the Risks of Nuclear War

Kamran Abbasi<sup>1</sup>, Parveen Ali<sup>2</sup>, Virginia Barbour<sup>3</sup>, Kirsten Bibbins-Domingo<sup>4</sup>, Marcel G. M. Olde Rikkert<sup>5</sup>, Andy Haines<sup>6</sup>, Ira Helfand<sup>7</sup>, Richard Horton<sup>8</sup>, Bob Mash<sup>9</sup>, Arun Mitra<sup>7</sup>, Carlos Monteiro<sup>10</sup>, Elena N. Naumova<sup>11</sup>, Eric J. Rubin<sup>12</sup>, Tilman Ruff<sup>7</sup>, Peush Sahni<sup>13</sup>, James Tumwine<sup>14</sup>, Paul Yonga<sup>15</sup> and Chris Zielinski<sup>16\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The BMJ, London, United Kingdom, <sup>2</sup>Health Sciences School, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom, <sup>3</sup>Medical Journal of Australia, Sydney, NSW, Australia, <sup>4</sup>University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, United States, <sup>5</sup>Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, Netherlands, <sup>6</sup>London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, University of London, London, United Kingdom, <sup>7</sup>International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War, Boston, MA, United States, <sup>8</sup>The Lancet, London, United Kingdom, <sup>9</sup>African Journal of Primary Health & Family Medicine, Cape Town, South Africa, <sup>10</sup>School of Public Health, University of São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, <sup>11</sup>Tufts University, Boston, MA, United States, <sup>12</sup>New England Journal of Medicine, Boston, MA, United States, <sup>13</sup>National Medical Journal of India, New Delhi, India, <sup>14</sup>Kabale University, Kabale, Uganda, <sup>15</sup>East African Medical Journal, Nairobi, Kenya, <sup>16</sup>World Association of Medical Editors, Winchester, United Kingdom

**Keywords:** public health policy, public health policies, nuclear war, nuclear weapons, public health emergencies

## THE ROLE OF HEALTH PROFESSIONALS

In January 2023, the science and security board of the *Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists* moved the hands of the doomsday clock forward to 90 s before midnight, reflecting the growing risk of nuclear war [1]. In August 2022, the UN secretary general, António Guterres, warned that the world is now in “a time of nuclear danger not seen since the height of the Cold War.” [2] The danger has been underlined by growing tensions between many nuclear armed states [1, 3]. As editors of health and medical journals worldwide, we call on health professionals to alert the public and our leaders to this major danger to public health and the essential life support systems of the planet—and urge action to prevent it.

Current nuclear arms control and non-proliferation efforts are inadequate to protect the world's population against the threat of nuclear war by design, error, or miscalculation. The Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT) commits each of the 190 participating nations “to pursue negotiations in good faith on effective measures relating to cessation of the nuclear arms race at an early date and to nuclear disarmament, and on a treaty on general and complete disarmament under strict and effective international control.” [4] Progress has been disappointingly slow, and the most recent treaty review conference in 2022 ended without an agreed statement [5]. There are many examples of near disasters that have exposed the risks of depending on nuclear deterrence for the indefinite future [6]. Modernisation of nuclear arsenals could increase risks—for example, hypersonic missiles decrease the time available to distinguish between an attack and a false alarm, increasing the likelihood of rapid escalation.

Any use of nuclear weapons would be catastrophic for humanity. Even a “limited” nuclear war involving only 250 of the 13,000 nuclear weapons in the world could kill 120 million people outright and cause global climate disruption leading to a nuclear famine, putting two billion people at risk [7, 8]. A large scale nuclear war between the US and Russia could kill 200 million people or more in the near term and potentially cause a global “nuclear winter” that could kill 5–6 billion people, threatening the survival of humanity [7, 8]. Once a nuclear weapon is detonated, escalation to all-out nuclear war could occur rapidly. The prevention of any use of nuclear weapons is therefore an urgent public health priority and fundamental steps must also be taken to address the root cause of the problem—by abolishing nuclear weapons.

The health community has had a crucial role in efforts to reduce the risk of nuclear war and must continue to do so in the future [9]. In the 1980s the efforts of health professionals, led by the International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War (IPPNW), helped to end the cold war arms race by educating policymakers and the public on both sides of the Iron Curtain about the

## OPEN ACCESS

### Approved by:

International Journal of Public Health  
 Publisher's Office,  
 Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

### \*Correspondence:

Chris Zielinski  
 czielinski@ippnw.org

**Received:** 21 August 2023

**Accepted:** 06 October 2023

**Published:** 15 November 2023

### Citation:

Abbasi K, Ali P, Barbour V, Bibbins-Domingo K, Olde Rikkert MGM, Haines A, Helfand I, Horton R, Mash B, Mitra A, Monteiro C, Naumova EN, Rubin EJ, Ruff T, Sahni P, Tumwine J, Yonga P and Zielinski C (2023) Reducing the Risks of Nuclear War. *Int J Public Health* 68:1606534. doi: 10.3389/ijph.2023.1606534

medical consequences of nuclear war. This was recognised when the 1985 Nobel peace prize was awarded to the IPPNW [10].

In 2007, the IPPNW launched the International Campaign to Abolish Nuclear Weapons, which grew into a global civil society campaign with hundreds of partner organisations. A pathway to nuclear abolition was created with the adoption of the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons in 2017, for which the International Campaign to Abolish Nuclear Weapons was awarded the 2017 Nobel peace prize. International medical organisations, including the International Committee of the Red Cross, the IPPNW, the World Medical Association, the World Federation of Public Health Associations, and the International Council of Nurses, had key roles in the process leading up to the negotiations, and in the negotiations themselves, presenting the scientific evidence about the catastrophic health and environmental consequences of nuclear weapons and nuclear war. They continued this important collaboration during the first meeting of the parties to the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, which currently has 92 signatories, including 68 member states [11].

We now call on health professional associations to inform their members worldwide about the threat to human survival and to join with the IPPNW to support efforts to reduce the near term risks of nuclear war, including three immediate steps on the part of nuclear armed states and their allies: first, adopt a no first use policy [12]; second, take their nuclear weapons off hair trigger alert; and, third, urge all states involved in current conflicts to pledge publicly and unequivocally that they will not use nuclear weapons in these conflicts. We further ask them to work for a definitive end to the nuclear threat by supporting the urgent commencement of negotiations among the nuclear armed states for a verifiable, timebound agreement to eliminate their nuclear

weapons in accordance with commitments in the non-proliferation treaty, opening the way for all nations to join the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons.

The danger is great and growing. The nuclear armed states must eliminate their nuclear arsenals before they eliminate us. The health community played a decisive part during the cold war and more recently in the development of the Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons. We must take up this challenge again as an urgent priority, working with renewed energy to reduce the risks of nuclear war and to eliminate nuclear weapons.

## FOOTNOTES

- This editorial is being published simultaneously in multiple journals. For the full list of journals see: [13].
- Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

IH and AH developed the idea of the editorial and led drafting along with CZ. All other authors contributed significantly to the editorial content.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they do not have any conflicts of interest.

## REFERENCES

1. Science and Security Board. *A Time of Unprecedented Danger: It Is 90 Seconds to Midnight. 2023 Doomsday Clock Statement* (2023). Bull Atomic Scientists. Available From: <https://thebulletin.org/doomsday-clock/current-time/> (Accessed January 24, 2023).
2. United Nations. *Future Generations Counting on Our Commitment to Step Back from Abyss, Lift Cloud of Nuclear Annihilation for Good, Secretary-General Tells Review Conference*. Press release (2022). Available From: <https://press.un.org/en/2022/sgsm21394.doc.htm> (Accessed August 1, 2022).
3. Tollefson J Is Nuclear War More Likely After Russia's Suspension of the New START Treaty? *Nature* (2023) 615:386. doi:10.1038/d41586-023-00679-w
4. United Nations. *Review Conference of the Parties to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT)* (2005). Available From: <https://www.un.org/en/conf/npt/2005/npttreaty.html> (Accessed May 27, 2005).
5. Mukhatzhanova G *10th NPT Review Conference: Why It Was Doomed and How It Almost Succeeded*. Arms Control Association (2022). Available From: <https://www.armscontrol.org/act/2022-10/features/10th-npt-review-conference-why-doomed-almost-succeeded> (Accessed November 1, 2023).
6. Lewis P, Williams H, Pelopidas B. *Too Close for Comfort, Cases of Near Nuclear Use and Options for Policy*. London, United Kingdom: Chatham House Report (2014). Available From: <https://www.chathamhouse.org/2014/04/too-close-comfort-cases-near-nuclear-use-and-options-policy> (Accessed November 1, 2023).
7. Bivens M. *Nuclear Famine*. IPPNW (2022). Available From: <https://www.ippnw.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/ENGLISH-Nuclear-Famine-Report-Final-bleed-marks.pdf> (Accessed November 1, 2023).
8. Xia L, Robock A, Scherrer K, Harrison CS, Bodirsky BL, Weindl I, et al. Global Food Insecurity and Famine From Reduced Crop, Marine Fishery and Livestock Production Due to Climate Disruption From Nuclear War Soot Injection. *Nat Food* (2022) 3:586–96. doi:10.1038/s43016-022-00573-0
9. Helfand I, Lewis P, Haines A. Reducing the Risks of Nuclear War to Humanity. *Lancet* (2022) 399:1097–8. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00422-6
10. Nobel Prize. *International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War—Facts* (1985). Available From: <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/peace/1985/physicians/facts/> (Accessed May 27, 2023).
11. UN Office for Disarmament Affairs. *Treaties Database. Treaty on the Prohibition of Nuclear Weapons, Status of the Treaty* (2023). Available From: <https://treaties.unoda.org/tpnw> (Accessed May 27, 2023).
12. Center for Arms Control and Non-Proliferation. *No First Use: Frequently Asked Questions* (2023). Available From: <https://armscontrolcenter.org/issues/no-first-use/no-first-use-frequently-asked-questions/> (Accessed May 27, 2023).
13. The BMJ (2023). Available at: <https://www.bmj.com/content/full-list-authors-and-signatories-nuclear-risk-editorial-august-2023> (Accessed October 24, 2023).

Copyright © 2023 Abbasi, Ali, Barbour, Bibbins-Domingo, Olde Rikkert, Haines, Helfand, Horton, Mash, Mitra, Monteiro, Naumova, Rubin, Ruff, Sahni, Tumwine, Yonga and Zielinski. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.