Peer Review Report

Review Report on Titel: Factors contributing to resilience among first generation migrants, refugees and asylum seekers: a systematic review

Review, Int J Public Health

Reviewer: Afona Chernet
Submitted on: 10 Aug 2023
Article DOI: 10.3389/ijph.2023.1606406

EVALUATION

Q 1 **Please summarize the main theme of the review.**

identifying factors that affect the resilience, PTG, SOC, and mental well-being of transnational migrants

Q 2 **Please highlight the limitations and strengths.**

strength - using diverse methods
limitation - focusing on observational studies only restricts to better understanding the factors through longitudinal studies

Q 3 **Please provide your detailed review report to the authors, structured in major and minor comments.**

1. Major -
- provide a proper definition of Asylum seekers, Refugees, and Migrants ... refer to UNHCR definitions
- Figures and tables, need to be properly allocated in their respective locations
- Figure 1 needs revision, as it is not clearly marked with the connecting lines
- supplementary figures also, need to be labeled correctly
- avoid redundancy .. you will see some examples in the minor section below
- English language review is required

2. minor -
- 'Systematic review' may not be a good keyword to use ...
- line 23, the proper definition of refugee and migrant is needed .... check official UNHCR definitions
- line 27, use the latest reports as much as possible eg 2021, 2022
- line 30-31, not clear
- line 31, citation position is not correct.
- line 109, this needs to be updated ... maximum of 6 months until the date of submission
- line 119, avoid repetition
- line 127, did you mean 'Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders - DSM'? please check the spelling
- line 193 and 201, avoid repetition for table 1
- line 271, where is table 4? no table 4?
- line 373-375, you do not need to mention this again, 'in collaboration with an academic librarian (PAB), to ensure full coverage of the literature. Further, the review process involved three reviewers (JL,
375 MJ, FS-Z) for screening, data extraction and critical appraisal, enhancing the overall quality of the results.' as it is already mentioned in the method section.
-

PLEASE COMMENT

Q 4 **Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?**

yes

Q 5 Does this manuscript refer only to published data? (unpublished data is not allowed for Reviews)

Yes.

Q 6 Does the manuscript cover the issue in an objective and analytical manner

Yes.

Q 7 Was a review on the issue published in the past 12 months?

Yes.

Q 8 Does the review have international or global implications?

yes

Q 9 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

yes

Q 10 Are the keywords appropriate?

yes, but need to be modified

Q 11 Is the English language of sufficient quality?

not as such. need revision

Q 12 Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Not Applicable.

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Q 13 Quality of generalization and summary

Q 14 Significance to the field

Q 15 Interest to a general audience

Q 16 Quality of the writing

REVISION LEVEL

Q 17 **Please take a decision based on your comments:**

Minor revisions.