

Peer Review Report

Review Report on A bibliometric study on global snakebite research indexed in Web of Science

Review, Int J Public Health

Reviewer: Maria Isabel Gutierrez

Submitted on: 27 Jul 2023

Article DOI: 10.3389/ijph.2023.1606311

EVALUATION

Q 1 Please summarize the main theme of the review.

A bibliometric analysis of the global snakebite research literature. a systematic review of literature.

Q 2 Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

The strengths of this article is to provide as the authors mentioned the first study on bibliometric investigation of the snakebite-related literature around the world. The key areas of focus of snakebite-related research include toxicological mechanisms, clinical manifestations, epidemiological trends, prevention and control strategies for envenoming; and challenges in the access to, preparation methods for, clinical efficacy of, and alternative treatments with antivenoms.

the limitation are upon the publish material and the language published because even though it was a huge search, there are always material not reached for many reasons (language,etc.)

Q 3 Please provide your detailed review report to the authors, structured in major and minor comments.

I would like to congratulate the authors for the complete review exercise, the tools used for this work and the accomplished results. I do not have major o minor comments to this very well don article.

PLEASE COMMENT

Q 4 Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?

I believe it covers the relevant literature.

Q 5 Does this manuscript refer only to published data? (unpublished data is not allowed for Reviews)

Yes.

Q 6 Does the manuscript cover the issue in an objective and analytical manner

Yes.

Q 7 Was a review on the issue published in the past 12 months?

No.

Q 8 Does the review have international or global implications?

yes, it does. it is very useful for further research and clinical intervention.

Q 9 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

yes, I believe it does. the article is very well done, easy to read and well presented.

Q 10 Are the keywords appropriate?

yes.

Q 11 Is the English language of sufficient quality?

yes.

Q 12 Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Yes.

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Q 13 Quality of generalization and summary



Q 14 Significance to the field



Q 15 Interest to a general audience



Q 16 Quality of the writing



REVISION LEVEL

Q 17 Please take a decision based on your comments:

Accept.