

## Peer Review Report

# Review Report on COVID-19 vaccination among diverse population groups in the northern governorates of Iraq

Original Article, Int J Public Health

Reviewer: Atta Rehman

Submitted on: 08 Apr 2023

Article DOI: 10.3389/ijph.2023.1605736

### EVALUATION

**Q 1** Please summarize the main findings of the study.

I am thankful to the editors for providing me with an opportunity to review this interesting paper titled "COVID-19 Vaccine Survey among Refugees, IDPs, returnees, and host communities in Northern Governorates of Iraq". This is a well-written and clearly reported paper on a very important topic from a developing country. This study reports COVID-19 vaccination coverage amongst populations of internally displaced populations (IDPs), refugees, returnees, and host communities in five governorates of northern Iraq. The authors have described their background, objectives, methods, and results clearly and in simple language. Abstract content and keywords are related. Article content and references are relevant but if more were added to the introduction and discussion then seem more appropriate.

**Q 2** Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

The authors have described their background, objectives, methods, and results clearly and in simple language. More content and references if added in the introduction and discussion.

**Q 3** Please provide your detailed review report to the authors. The editors prefer to receive your review structured in major and minor comments. Please consider in your review the methods (statistical methods valid and correctly applied (e.g. sample size, choice of test), is the study replicable based on the method description?), results, data interpretation and references. If there are any objective errors, or if the conclusions are not supported, you should detail your concerns.

No answer given.

### PLEASE COMMENT

**Q 4** Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

yes

**Q 5** Are the keywords appropriate?

yes

**Q 6** Is the English language of sufficient quality?

yes

**Q 7** Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Yes.

**Q 8** Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?)

yes

**QUALITY ASSESSMENT**

**Q 9** Originality

**Q 10** Rigor

**Q 11** Significance to the field

**Q 12** Interest to a general audience

**Q 13** Quality of the writing

**Q 14** Overall scientific quality of the study

**REVISION LEVEL**

**Q 15** Please make a recommendation based on your comments:

Accept.