

Peer Review Report

Review Report on Strategies for improving postpartum contraception compared with routine maternal care: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Review, Int J Public Health

Reviewer: Ankit Anand

Submitted on: 05 Jan 2023

Article DOI: 10.3389/ijph.2023.1605564

EVALUATION

Q 1 Please summarize the main theme of the review.

The study is a systematic review on intervention on increasing PPF use and its effects on pregnancy and abortion. Since high rate of pregnancy and abortion have negatively impact child and mother's health. It is important to have planned and spaced pregnancies achieving family goals. PPF intervention can be very useful to women or couples to achieve their fertility goals. The paper presents a interesting review and suggest that the long term effect of this intervention is not certain and program should look to have more long term effects on contraception use

Q 2 Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

The strengths is clearly the finding being based on the large sources of knowledge and provide a good summary of the intervention.

Q 3 Please provide your detailed review report to the authors, structured in major and minor comments.

Authors have performed systematic review of RCT studies on PPF. My question would be that these PPF intervention mostly are related with initiation of early contraception use to have planned and spaced out pregnancies. So, the goal of these intervention might not be very long term. Because of that, I kind of not agree with the conclusion that the long term effect is uncertain. More granularity of this is required, for example whether the intervention directed to younger/older women or women with one or high number of children. A more clarity is needed as some of the intervention might not be looking at very long term. Some English structure related problems are there in writing. Please correct Page 2: line 27-29, page 3: line 52-55. Some line are very confusing and the sentence structure needs to be changed

PLEASE COMMENT

Q 4 Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?

Yes

Q 5 Does this manuscript refer only to published data? (unpublished data is not allowed for Reviews)

Yes.

Q 6 Does the manuscript cover the issue in an objective and analytical manner

Yes.

Q 7 Was a review on the issue published in the past 12 months?

No.

Q 8 Does the review have international or global implications?

Yes, The review will have global implication

Q 9 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

yes appropriate

Q 10 Are the keywords appropriate?

Yes

Q 11 Is the English language of sufficient quality?

No

Q 12 Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Yes.

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Q 13 Quality of generalization and summary



Q 14 Significance to the field



Q 15 Interest to a general audience



Q 16 Quality of the writing



REVISION LEVEL

Q 17 Please take a decision based on your comments:

Minor revisions.