

## Peer Review Report

# Review Report on Predictors of satisfaction with life and health status of older people in Brunei: A gender comparative study

Original Article, Int J Public Health

Reviewer: Başaran GENÇDOĞAN

Submitted on: 23 Jun 2022

Article DOI: 10.3389/ijph.2022.1605042

### EVALUATION

#### **Q 1** Please summarize the main findings of the study.

Life satisfaction and life satisfaction levels of men and women in the elderly population were compared. Factors affecting life satisfaction and life satisfaction levels of elderly women and men were determined.

#### **Q 2** Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

The strengths of the study are that it works in a large sample and that the factors that may be effective are overdetermined. I don't think you are a weakness of the work.

#### **Q 3** Please provide your detailed review report to the authors. The editors prefer to receive your review structured in major and minor comments. Please consider in your review the methods (statistical methods valid and correctly applied (e.g. sample size, choice of test), is the study replicable based on the method description?), results, data interpretation and references. If there are any objective errors, or if the conclusions are not supported, you should detail your concerns.

It would be more understandable if the lines "Mean Age of participants in Years" and "Mean Age of spouse in Years" were placed at the end of the relevant table and displayed as "Mean Age of participants in Years (Mean  $\pm$ S.D.)". Its current state is causing confusion.

Regression and R2 values of "Table 6: Factors associated with perceived health among elderly by gender" should also be given.

### PLEASE COMMENT

#### **Q 4** Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

The title and summary of the research are appropriate.

#### **Q 5** Are the keywords appropriate?

The keywords of the research are appropriate.

#### **Q 6** Is the English language of sufficient quality?

The English language of the research is appropriate.

#### **Q 7** Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Yes.

**Q 8** Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?)

The reference list is sufficiently comprehensive in the relevant literature.

**QUALITY ASSESSMENT**

**Q 9** Originality



**Q 10** Rigor



**Q 11** Significance to the field



**Q 12** Interest to a general audience



**Q 13** Quality of the writing



**Q 14** Overall scientific quality of the study



**REVISION LEVEL**

**Q 15** Please make a recommendation based on your comments:

Minor revisions.