

Peer Review Report

Review Report on Life Satisfaction of Rural-to-urban Migrants: Exploring the Influence of Socio-demographic and Urbanisation Features in China

Original Article, Int J Public Health

Reviewer: Zhonggao Zhou

Submitted on: 24 Mar 2022

Article DOI: 10.3389/ijph.2022.1604580

EVALUATION

Q 1 Please summarize the main findings of the study.

This study discusses the satisfaction of these immigrants with urban life after changing from rural farmers to urban residents. Through the questionnaire survey of representative communities in Hangzhou and Ningbo, Zhejiang Province, taking the dimensions of demographic characteristics, occupational change, spatial transformation and identity transformation as independent variables and satisfaction as dependent variables, this paper discusses the impact of different factors on immigrants' life satisfaction after urbanization, and discusses the suggestions for government policy change.

Q 2 Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

Advantages: discussing farmers' satisfaction after urbanization is an important aspect to improve the quality of urbanization and stabilize the level of urbanization. In the process of promoting the people-oriented new urbanization strategy, the core meaning is to improve the quality of life of urban residents and change the tendency of pursuing urbanization rate.

Limitations: urbanization is more about pursuing personal or family development opportunities, especially providing more growth space for children. Therefore, in terms of independent variables, if the variables of offspring can be included, it may be helpful to the stability, motivation and mechanism of urbanization.

Q 3 Please provide your detailed review report to the authors. The editors prefer to receive your review structured in major and minor comments. Please consider in your review the methods (statistical methods valid and correctly applied (e.g. sample size, choice of test), is the study replicable based on the method description?), results, data interpretation and references. If there are any objective errors, or if the conclusions are not supported, you should detail your concerns.

this study discusses the satisfaction of these immigrants with urban life after changing from rural farmers to urban residents. Through the questionnaire survey of representative communities in Hangzhou and Ningbo, Zhejiang Province, taking the dimensions of demographic characteristics, occupational change, spatial transformation and identity transformation as independent variables and satisfaction as dependent variables, this paper discusses the impact of different factors on immigrants' life satisfaction after urbanization, and discusses the suggestions for government policy change.

Advantages: discussing farmers' satisfaction after urbanization is an important aspect to improve the quality of urbanization and stabilize the level of urbanization. In the process of promoting the people-oriented new urbanization strategy, the core meaning is to improve the quality of life of urban residents and change the tendency of pursuing urbanization rate.

Limitations: urbanization is more about pursuing personal or family development opportunities, especially providing more growth space for children. Therefore, in terms of independent variables, if the variables of offspring can be included, it may be helpful to the stability, motivation and mechanism of urbanization.

PLEASE COMMENT

Q 4 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

The title is appropriate and concise. No modification comments.

Q 5 Are the keywords appropriate?

The keyword part is suggested to be modified. Change "urban development" to "urbanisation". Rationale: the theme of this project is urbanization rather than urban development.

Q 6 Is the English language of sufficient quality?

The quality of English meets the publishing requirements.

Q 7 Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Yes.

Q 8 Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?)

The references of the paper fully and fairly cover the relevant literature, and there are no relevant modification suggestions.

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Q 9 Originality



Q 10 Rigor



Q 11 Significance to the field



Q 12 Interest to a general audience



Q 13 Quality of the writing



Q 14 Overall scientific quality of the study



REVISION LEVEL

Q 15 Please make a recommendation based on your comments:

Accept.