Peer Review Report

Review Report on Self-esteem, happiness and flourishing in times of COVID-19: A study during the lockdown period in Ecuador

Original Article, Int J Public Health

EVALUATION

Q 1   Please summarize the main findings of the study.

Age, education, socioeconomic status, time spent using mobile phones and on hobbies, among others, were relevant aspects of happiness, flourishing, and self-esteem.

Q 2   Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

Limitations: as the data was collected by google form, the respondents may not be able to clarify the question regarding the study.

Strengths: the study was conducted using a big sample size, with 700 subjects in total. Also, the study was conducted in 11 countries (Spanish-speaking countries).

Q 3   Please provide your detailed review report to the authors. The editors prefer to receive your review structured in major and minor comments. Please consider in your review the methods (statistical methods valid and correctly applied (e.g. sample size, choice of test), is the study replicable based on the method description?), results, data interpretation and references. If there are any objective errors, or if the conclusions are not supported, you should detail your concerns.

The author used several statistical methods to support the findings, but the author did not mention in detail, the purpose of each method for which variable. Example: ANOVA did not mention for what analysis.
In lines 156 – 160, only available for Chi-square. Only mention the correlation for the previous paragraph. Please mention the correlation test for what variables. It's better to explain each study analyze for each variable

PLEASE COMMENT

Q 4   Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

The title is already appropriate and interesting to read

Q 5   Are the keywords appropriate?

the keywords are appropriate but it's better to arrange based on the alphabet

Q 6   Is the English language of sufficient quality?

The English are already sufficient and the grammar is easy to understand. The author already provides an understandable explanation.

Q 7   Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Yes.

Q 8 — **Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?)**

the reference is updated and supports the explanation.

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Q 9 — **Originality**

Q 10 — **Rigor**

Q 11 — **Significance to the field**

Q 12 — **Interest to a general audience**

Q 13 — **Quality of the writing**

Q 14 — **Overall scientific quality of the study**

REVISION LEVEL

Q 15 — **Please make a recommendation based on your comments:**

Minor revisions.