Peer Review Report

Review Report on Acceptance of A COVID-19 Vaccine Before It Is Available in China During the Pandemic

EVALUATION

Q 1 Please provide your detailed review report to the authors. The editors prefer to receive your review structured in major and minor comments. Please consider in your review the methods (statistical methods valid and correctly applied (e.g. sample size, choice of test), is the study replicable based on the method description?), results, data interpretation and references. If there are any objective errors, or if the conclusions are not supported, you should detail your concerns.

Please see the attached file

Q 2 Please summarize the main findings of the study.

This paper found that the acceptance rate of a COVID-19 vaccine in China is far lower compared to that reported in the global surveys. The findings of this paper also suggest that although the surveyed Chinese people have concerns about the side effects, effectiveness, and price of the COVID-19 vaccine, those concerns had not become the barrier to vaccine acceptance.

The authors argued that the concerns of the new COVID-19 do not merely have a negative influence on vaccine acceptance, and allowing those reasonable concerns is the key to the success of the COVID-19 vaccination program.

Q 3 Please highlight the limitations and strengths.

While I found this paper contains careful data analysis and reasonable arguments based on the analysis, I have mains concerns regarding the main survey questions, the sampling procedures, and the literature review of vaccine acceptance in China.

PLEASE COMMENT

Q 4 Is the title appropriate, concise, attractive?

Yes, it is appropriate, concise, attractive

Q 5 Are the keywords appropriate?

Yes, they are appropriate

Q 6 Is the English language of sufficient quality?

Yes

Q 7 Is the quality of the figures and tables satisfactory?

Yes.

Q 8 Does the reference list cover the relevant literature adequately and in an unbiased manner?)

It has some limitations but OK, I had provided suggestions in the main comments section.

QUALITY ASSESSMENT

Q 9 Originality ▮▮▮▮▮

Q 10 Rigor ▮▮▮▯▯

Q 11 Significance to the field ▮▮▮▯▯

Q 12 Interest to a general audience ▮▮▮▮▮

Q 13 Quality of the writing ▮▮▮▮▯

Q 14 Overall scientific quality of the study ▮▮▮▯▯

REVISION LEVEL

Q 15 Please take a decision based on your comments:

Major revisions.